

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,817 11/04/2003		J. Christopher Flaherty	59249-163 (INSL-117DV) 6254		
36310 7	590 08/25/2005		EXAMINER		
INSULET CORPORATION			HAYES, MICHAEL J		
9 Oak Park Dri Bedford, MA	• •		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	3763		
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					/			
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/700,8	17	FLAHERTY, J. CHRISTOPHER				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	,	Art Unit				
		Michael J.		3763				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the	e correspondence addres	SS			
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION Is is is on a firme may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the preciod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eventuation. of days, a reply within the state tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr lication to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this commu	Inication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>04 November 2</u>	<u>003</u> .					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
•	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-6,9-14,16,17,19-22 and 24-50</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-3,7,8,15,18 and 23</u> is/are rejected.							
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November</u>	<u>′ 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ a			r.			
	Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	• • •	•		121/4)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	·	• ,	•	• •			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority of			(a)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority of			eation No	•			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of				ge			
	application from the Internation							
* S	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the cert	fied copies not rece	ived.				
Attachmen	t(s)		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 040)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or l		5) Notice of Inform	al Patent Application (PTO-15	2)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/15/03 1/22/04</u> . 4//2 6/04		6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 51, 1, 2, 3, 15, 18, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by CHOI (US Patent No. 6,572,585). Choi discloses a system for delivering a fluid to a patient including a delivery device having a reservoir, plunger, guide means to prevent rotation of the plunger 51, 25, dispenser and a processor for controlling the dispenser based on flow instructions from a wireless remote controller (col. 2, line 34 - col. 3, line 61; figs. 4-8, 22, and 24). The wireless remote control includes a transmitter and receiver to communicate with the transmitter and receiver of the control processor and a control unit (fig. 20). The housing for the processor does not contain user input components, which are part of the wireless remote controller (col. 8, ll. 5-53).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 3763

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CHOI ('585) in view of PATALANO (US Patent No. 5,573,342). Choi discloses the claimed invention except for making the lead screw plastic. Patalano discloses a fluid delivery system using a reservoir, plunger, and plastic lead screw. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Patalano to use a plastic lead screw in the system of Choi in order to keep the system weight low for efficient and easy use by a patient.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CHOI ('585) in view of CHOI (US Patent No. 5,993,423). Choi ('585) discloses the claimed invention except is silent concerning making a threaded insert (54,55) a different material than the plunger 22. Choi ('423) suggests making the insert 154 and plunger 122 of different materials. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Choi ('423) in the system of Choi ('585) in order to facilitate the workings of the delivery device in using different materials throughout the system based on material properties to use materials best suited for different operations.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground

Application/Control Number: 10/700,817

Art Unit: 3763

provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 51, 1-3, 15, 18, and 23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 10, 11, 34, 35, 54, 55, 73, and 74 of U.S. Patent No. 6,656,159 and claims 14, 15, 18, 32, 40, 41, 64, 65, 85, 86, 123, and 124 of U.S. Patent No. 6,656,158. Claim 51 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 10, 11, 21, and 23-26 of U.S. Patent No. 6,740,059 and claims 13 and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,723,072. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they all recite a fluid delivery system having a reservoir, plunger, dispenser, wireless transmitter/receiver and remote control having processor, transmitter, and receiver. Patents '159 and '158 also disclose a lead screw to advance the plunger.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. NEFTEL (US Patent No. 5,764,159) discloses a remote control system for delivering fluids to patients

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Hayes at (571) 272-4959. The examiner can usually be reached Monday -Thursday, 7:00-4:30, and on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the

Art Unit: 3763

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi, can be contacted at (571) 272-4977. The fax number for submitting official papers is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mjh

15 August 2005

MICHAEL J. HAYES PRIMARY EXAMINER

M/Hayes